

PART 1

THE ECONOMICS OF PRICING

Value, Cost, and Willingness to Pay

Why pricing is the highest-leverage profit driver, the three pricing orientations (cost-plus, competition-based, value-based), willingness to pay theory and the WTP distribution, price elasticity measurement and the revenue-maximizing condition, Economic Value to the Customer (EVC) framework with worked B2B example, the price waterfall and pocket price realization, contribution margin at different price points, and the pricing governance framework including the discount authority matrix and contribution margin floor.

SECTION 1

WHY PRICING IS THE CFO'S HIGHEST-LEVERAGE DECISION

The Economics of Pricing: Value, Cost, and Willingness to Pay

Of all the financial levers available to a CFO — cost reduction, volume growth, working capital optimization, capital structure — pricing is the one that most directly and most immediately affects the bottom line. A 1% improvement in price, holding volume constant, flows entirely to operating profit. There are no offsetting costs. No additional headcount. No capital investment. No supply chain friction. It is the purest form of margin expansion available to any business, and it is the lever that is most consistently underused, most frequently delegated to sales or marketing without finance oversight, and most poorly understood at the level of financial architecture.

This series is designed to change that. Over fourteen parts, we will cover the complete financial architecture of pricing strategy — from the foundational economics of willingness to pay and price elasticity, through the specific pricing models for SaaS, physical goods, services, and platforms, to the advanced disciplines of dynamic pricing, price segmentation, psychological pricing, and international pricing. Every concept is grounded in the financial mechanics that make pricing decisions consequential: how a pricing change flows through the income statement, how it affects unit economics and LTV, how it interacts with cost structure, and how it is measured, governed, and reported.

This first part establishes the economic foundation. Before designing a pricing strategy, the CFO must understand the three pricing orientations — cost-plus, competition-based, and value-based — and why value-based pricing, despite being the hardest to implement, is the only orientation that consistently produces pricing that is both sustainable and profitable. We will cover willingness to pay theory, price elasticity and its measurement, the pricing-volume tradeoff, and how to structure the governance process that ensures pricing decisions are made with the rigor they deserve.

1.1 The Asymmetric Impact of Price on Profit

The most important financial fact about pricing is this: among the four primary profit drivers — price, variable cost, fixed cost, and volume — price has the highest leverage on operating profit for most businesses. The McKinsey analysis of this relationship, replicated across thousands of business situations, consistently shows that a 1% improvement in price realization (receiving 1% more for the same product, with the same

volume) produces an operating profit improvement roughly twice that of a 1% reduction in variable costs and three to four times that of a 1% increase in volume.

The mathematical basis for this asymmetry is straightforward. A 1% price increase on \$100M in revenue adds \$1M to revenue with zero cost offset — all \$1M flows to operating profit. A 1% reduction in variable costs on a business with 60% variable cost ratio saves \$600,000 in cost, which flows to profit. A 1% volume increase on a business with 40% contribution margin adds \$400,000 in contribution — but requires the sales, marketing, and operational investment to generate that volume. Price wins every time.

PROFIT LEVER COMPARISON — \$100M REVENUE BUSINESS

Assumptions: \$100M revenue, 60% variable costs, \$25M fixed costs

Current Operating Profit: $\$100M - \$60M - \$25M = \$15M$ (15% margin)

1% Price Increase (all flows to profit):

Revenue: \$101M | OP: $\$101M - \$60M - \$25M = \$16M$ (+\$1,000,000 = +6.7%)

1% Variable Cost Reduction:

OP: $\$100M - \$59.4M - \$25M = \$15.6M$ (+\$600,000 = +4.0%)

1% Volume Increase (at 40% CM):

Revenue: \$101M | Variable Cost: \$60.6M

OP: $\$101M - \$60.6M - \$25M = \$15.4M$ (+\$400,000 = +2.7%)

Price leverage = 1.67x variable cost reduction; 2.5x volume growth

CFO INSIGHT

The profit leverage of price improvement is even more dramatic in high-fixed-cost businesses. A SaaS company with 75% gross margins and \$20M in fixed operating costs sees virtually the entire price increase flow to operating profit — there are almost no variable costs to offset. At \$50M ARR with a 5% price increase on the subscription base, the \$2.5M revenue addition converts to approximately \$2.0M in additional operating income at 80% flow-through. That is the equivalent of eliminating two senior engineers from the cost base — except it creates value rather than destroying capability.

SECTION 2

THE THREE PRICING ORIENTATIONS

Cost-Plus, Competition-Based, and Value-Based Pricing

Every pricing decision, regardless of its sophistication, is rooted in one of three orientations: how much does it cost to make and deliver this product or service (cost-plus), what are competitors charging for comparable offerings (competition-based), or what value does this product or service create for the customer and how much of that value can be captured through pricing (value-based). Each orientation has a legitimate role in the pricing toolkit, and each has specific situations where it is the right starting point. Understanding the mechanics, advantages, and limitations of each is the foundation of pricing strategy.

2.1 Cost-Plus Pricing

Cost-plus pricing sets price by calculating the total cost of producing and delivering the product or service and adding a target profit margin. It is the most widely practiced pricing method — surveys consistently show that more than half of businesses use cost-plus as their primary pricing methodology — and it is also the method most likely to result in prices that are either too low (leaving significant value on the table) or too high (pricing the product out of addressable markets) relative to what value-based pricing would produce.

The appeal of cost-plus is its simplicity and its apparent fairness. The CFO can build a cost-plus price from the P&L; without any market research: add up all the costs, apply the target margin, set the price. It provides a financial floor below which the company should not price without accepting a loss on that unit of business. And in regulated industries — government contracting, utilities, healthcare — cost-plus is sometimes mandated by the regulatory framework.

COST-PLUS PRICING MECHANICS

Total Unit Cost = Direct Materials + Direct Labor + Overhead Allocation

Cost-Plus Price = Total Unit Cost / (1 - Target Margin %)

OR: Total Unit Cost x (1 + Target Markup %)

Example: Manufacturing widget

Direct Materials: \$18.00

Direct Labor: \$8.00

Overhead (allocated): \$6.00

Total Unit Cost: \$32.00

Target Gross Margin: 40%

Cost-Plus Price: $\$32.00 / (1 - 0.40) = \53.33

Target Markup: 66.7%

Cost-Plus Price: $\$32.00 \times (1 + 0.667) = \53.33 (same result)

The fundamental flaw of cost-plus pricing is that it is entirely internally focused — it starts from costs and adds a margin target, without any reference to what customers value or what the market will bear. A company that can produce a product for \$32 and sells it for \$53 may be leaving \$80 of customer value uncaptured. Or it may be charging \$53 for something customers are only willing to pay \$40 for. Cost-plus tells the company nothing about either scenario.

2.2 Competition-Based Pricing

Competition-based pricing sets price relative to competitors — at a premium (if the product is perceived as superior), at parity (if the product is comparable), or at a discount (if the product is entering an established market and needs to win share). It is the dominant approach in commodity markets and in markets where customers can easily compare prices across providers.

The mechanics of competition-based pricing require ongoing competitive intelligence: tracking competitor list prices, understanding actual transaction prices (which may be significantly below list due to discounting), monitoring promotional pricing, and assessing how pricing changes affect competitive positioning. The primary analytical tool is the competitive price index — the ratio of the company's price to the competitive benchmark.

COMPETITIVE PRICE INDEX

Competitive Price Index = Company Price / Weighted Avg Competitor Price

CPI > 1.0: Company pricing at premium to market

CPI = 1.0: Company at market parity

CPI < 1.0: Company pricing at discount to market

Example: Company prices product at \$48; three competitors at \$45, \$50, \$52

Weighted Avg Competitor Price (equal weights): $(\$45 + \$50 + \$52) / 3 = \49.00

Competitive Price Index: $\$48 / \$49 = 0.98$ (2% below market)

If company wants to move to 5% premium: Target price = $\$49 \times 1.05 = \51.45

Competition-based pricing has the advantage of market relevance — it prevents the company from pricing so far outside market norms that customers refuse to consider it. But it has two critical weaknesses. First, it assumes that competitors have priced correctly, which is often not true. Following a competitor who has underpriced their product into their own cost structure is a strategy for mutual destruction. Second, it ignores value differentiation — if the company's product is genuinely superior, pricing at market parity leaves value uncaptured.

2.3 Value-Based Pricing

Value-based pricing sets price based on the economic value the product or service creates for the customer — the customer's willingness to pay (WTP), which is determined by the value they receive, not the cost the vendor incurs to deliver it. It is the hardest pricing orientation to implement (it requires genuine understanding of the customer's economics and the value drivers of the product) and the most rewarding when done well.

The foundation of value-based pricing is the Economic Value to the Customer (EVC) calculation — a rigorous estimate of the financial value the customer receives from using the product, relative to the best alternative available to them. The price can be set anywhere between the customer's cost of the next-best alternative (the price floor) and the full EVC (the price ceiling at which the customer is indifferent between buying and not buying). Capturing a portion of the customer's value surplus — leaving enough value for the customer that they are strongly motivated to buy — is the art of value-based pricing.

Pricing Orientation	Starting Point	Key Strength	Key Weakness	Best For
Cost-Plus	Internal costs + target margin	Financial floor; simple; auditable	Ignores customer value and market	Regulated industries; commodity products with stable costs
Competition-Based	Competitor prices \pm premium/discount	Market relevant; prevents extreme mispricing	Follows competitor errors; ignores differentiation	Commodity markets; price-sensitive buyers; new entrants
Value-Based	Customer's EVC and WTP	Captures maximum sustainable value	Requires deep customer insight; harder to execute	Differentiated products; B2B; software; unique solutions

SECTION 3

WILLINGNESS TO PAY: THE CENTRAL CONCEPT

Willingness to Pay: What Customers Will Actually Pay

Willingness to pay (WTP) is the maximum amount a customer would pay for a product or service before preferring to go without it or to choose an alternative. It is not the price the customer expects to pay (the reference price), nor the price they prefer to pay (which is always zero), nor the price they have paid in the past (the historical price). WTP is the theoretical ceiling on pricing for that customer at that moment — the price at which they are perfectly indifferent between buying and not buying.

WTP is not fixed. It varies by customer (different customers have different needs and different budgets), by context (the same customer will pay more for a cold drink at an airport than at a supermarket), by alternatives (WTP rises when alternatives are scarce or inferior), and by information (customers with more information about value will pay more for demonstrably superior products). The CFO and the pricing team must understand WTP as a distribution across the customer base — a range of values, not a single number — and must design pricing structures that capture value across the full distribution.

3.1 The WTP Distribution and Price-Volume Tradeoff

Imagine a market of 1,000 potential customers, each with a different WTP for a software product. Some customers would pay \$500 per year; others \$250; others \$100; others \$50. If the company sets a single price at \$250, it captures all customers with WTP above \$250 (perhaps 400 customers) and loses all customers with WTP below \$250 (perhaps 600 customers). Raising the price to \$350 captures the high-WTP customers at a higher margin but loses the customers with WTP between \$250 and \$350. Lowering to \$150 captures more volume but at a lower price per unit.

PRICE-VOLUME TRADEOFF ANALYSIS

WTP Distribution (1,000 potential customers):

WTP > \$350: 250 customers | WTP \$250-\$350: 150 customers
 WTP \$150-\$250: 200 customers | WTP \$50-\$150: 300 customers
 WTP < \$50: 100 customers (will not buy at any positive price)

Revenue at different single prices:

Price \$350: 250 customers x \$350 = \$87,500
 Price \$250: 400 customers x \$250 = \$100,000 <- revenue-maximizing price
 Price \$150: 600 customers x \$150 = \$90,000
 Price \$50: 900 customers x \$50 = \$45,000

Profit-maximizing price depends on cost structure:

If variable cost = \$20/unit:

Price \$350: 250 x (\$350-\$20) = \$82,500 contribution <- profit-maximizing
 Price \$250: 400 x (\$250-\$20) = \$92,000 contribution <- depends on fixed costs

CFO INSIGHT

The price-volume tradeoff analysis reveals a critically important insight that is frequently missed: the revenue-maximizing price and the profit-maximizing price are almost always different. Revenue-maximizing pricing drives volume at the expense of margin. Profit-maximizing pricing may sacrifice revenue to improve contribution margin per unit. The CFO must decide which objective to optimize, and that decision must be made explicitly — because the sales and marketing teams, if left to their own devices, will almost always optimize for revenue (because commissions are typically revenue-based) rather than profit. Build the contribution margin model into every pricing decision, not just the revenue model.

3.2 Methods for Measuring Willingness to Pay

Because WTP is a theoretical ceiling that customers will rarely self-report accurately (they will systematically understate it to negotiate better prices), pricing practitioners have developed several research methods for

estimating WTP with sufficient accuracy for commercial decision-making. The CFO should understand each method well enough to evaluate the quality of WTP research presented by the marketing or pricing team.

WTP Research Method	Mechanism	Accuracy	Best For	Cost / Complexity
Direct Survey (stated WTP)	Ask customers their maximum WTP directly	Low; customers understate WTP systematically	Quick directional estimates only	Low cost; fast
Van Westendorp PSM	Ask 4 price questions (too cheap/cheap/expensive/too expensive)	Medium; identifies acceptable price range	Consumer products; B2C pricing	Moderate; requires ~200+ respondents
Conjoint Analysis	Customers choose between product configurations at different prices	High; reveals implicit trade-offs	SaaS tiers; product feature pricing	High cost and complexity; worth it for major decisions
Gabor-Granger	Ask willingness to buy at sequentially varying prices	Medium-High; builds demand curve	Simpler product pricing; one price point	Moderate cost and time
A/B Price Testing	Actual customers shown different prices; measure purchase rates	Highest; real behavior, not stated intent	eCommerce; SaaS signups; consumer apps	High stakes; requires traffic volume
Transaction Data Analysis	Analyze historical win/loss rates vs. quoted prices	High for existing products; lags market changes	B2B enterprise pricing; annual contracts	Low cost; uses existing data

SECTION 4

PRICE ELASTICITY: MEASURING DEMAND SENSITIVITY

Price Elasticity: The CFO's Most Important Market Intelligence

Price elasticity of demand measures how sensitive the quantity demanded of a product is to a change in its price. It is the foundational analytical tool for understanding the price-volume tradeoff and for predicting the

revenue and profit impact of any pricing change. A CFO who does not know their product's price elasticity is making pricing decisions in the dark — and is almost certainly leaving money on the table, accepting unnecessary volume losses from price increases, or underpricing relative to the market's willingness to pay.

4.1 Price Elasticity Formula and Interpretation

PRICE ELASTICITY OF DEMAND

$$\text{Price Elasticity } (\epsilon) = \% \text{ Change in Quantity Demanded} / \% \text{ Change in Price}$$

$$= (\Delta Q / Q) / (\Delta P / P)$$

Interpretation:

- $|\epsilon| > 1$: Elastic demand – price increase reduces revenue
(quantity drops proportionally MORE than price rises)
- $|\epsilon| = 1$: Unit elastic – price change has no net revenue effect
- $|\epsilon| < 1$: Inelastic demand – price increase raises revenue
(quantity drops proportionally LESS than price rises)

Example: SaaS product raises price 10%; subscriptions decline 4%

$$\epsilon = (-4\%) / (+10\%) = -0.4 \text{ (inelastic: revenue INCREASES with price rise)}$$

Revenue impact: Was 1,000 subs x \$100 = \$100,000

After: 960 subs x \$110 = \$105,600 (+5.6% revenue despite volume loss)

4.2 Elasticity by Market Context

Price elasticity is not a fixed property of a product — it varies by customer segment, competitive context, time horizon, and economic conditions. Understanding what drives elasticity in your specific market is more valuable than knowing the single point estimate. The following framework helps CFOs anticipate elasticity before committing to a price change.

Factor	Makes Demand More Elastic	Makes Demand More Inelastic
Substitute availability	Many close substitutes available; easy to switch	Few substitutes; switching is difficult or costly
Necessity vs. luxury	Discretionary purchase; easy to defer or forgo	Essential product or service; cannot go without
Price as % of budget	Large portion of buyer's budget	Small portion of buyer's budget

Factor	Makes Demand More Elastic	Makes Demand More Inelastic
Differentiation	Commodity; identical to competitor offerings	Unique; proprietary features or brand premium
Time horizon	Long run: more time to find alternatives	Short run: locked in; alternatives not yet available
B2B vs. B2C context	Individual consumer paying personally	Business buyer; cost passed through to revenue
Switching costs	Low switching costs; easy migration	High switching costs; integration lock-in

4.3 Revenue-Maximizing Price from Elasticity

When demand is inelastic ($|\epsilon| < 1$), raising price increases revenue. When demand is elastic ($|\epsilon| > 1$), raising price decreases revenue. The revenue-maximizing price point is where elasticity equals -1 (unit elastic). This mathematical relationship gives the CFO a direct analytical tool: if the price elasticity of demand is known, the direction of the revenue-optimal price change can be determined analytically rather than by intuition.

REVENUE IMPACT OF PRICE CHANGE

$$\text{Revenue Change \%} \approx \% \text{ Price Change} \times (1 + \epsilon)$$

Example 1: $\epsilon = -0.4$ (inelastic), +10% price increase

$$\text{Revenue Change} \approx +10\% \times (1 + (-0.4)) = +10\% \times 0.6 = +6\% \text{ revenue}$$

-> Raise price: revenue increases

Example 2: $\epsilon = -2.0$ (elastic), +10% price increase

$$\text{Revenue Change} \approx +10\% \times (1 + (-2.0)) = +10\% \times (-1.0) = -10\% \text{ revenue}$$

-> Price increase destroys revenue; lower price would increase revenue

Revenue-Maximizing Condition: $\epsilon = -1.0$ (unit elastic)

At $\epsilon = -1$: Revenue Change = 0 for any small price change

-> Already at revenue peak; further moves in either direction reduce revenue

SECTION 5

ECONOMIC VALUE TO THE CUSTOMER (EVC)

EVC: The Foundation of Value-Based Pricing

The Economic Value to the Customer (EVC) framework is the analytical foundation of value-based pricing. It answers the question: what is the maximum amount a rational, fully-informed customer would pay for this product, given their available alternatives? EVC is not what they will pay (which depends on negotiation, competition, and buyer sophistication) — it is what they could rationally pay without being worse off than if they had chosen their next-best alternative. EVC sets the theoretical ceiling on pricing and provides the basis for a value communication strategy.

5.1 The EVC Calculation

ECONOMIC VALUE TO THE CUSTOMER (EVC)

EVC = Reference Value + Positive Differentiation Value - Negative Differentiation Value

Reference Value = Price of the customer's next-best alternative
(what they would pay if they did not buy your product)

Positive Differentiation Value = Value of features/benefits your product
has that the reference product does NOT have
Quantified as: cost savings + revenue increase + risk reduction

Negative Differentiation Value = Value of features the reference product
has that your product does NOT have (switching cost, missing features)

Maximum Pricing = EVC (customer perfectly indifferent)

Value-Sharing Price = EVC - Customer Value Surplus (must leave some for customer)

Price Corridor: [Reference Value + some differentiation] to [EVC - surplus]

5.2 EVC Worked Example: B2B Software

A manufacturing company is evaluating a new predictive maintenance software platform. Their current approach is reactive maintenance — they repair equipment when it fails. The vendor claims the software will predict failures 72 hours in advance, reducing unplanned downtime. To price the software using EVC, the vendor must quantify the value of this downtime reduction in the customer's economic terms.

EVC WORKED EXAMPLE — PREDICTIVE MAINTENANCE SOFTWARE

Customer Context: Mid-size manufacturer, \$180M annual revenue

Current unplanned downtime: 120 hours/year

Revenue per production hour: $\$180\text{M} / (250 \text{ days} \times 16 \text{ hrs}) = \$45,000/\text{hr}$

Current downtime cost: $120 \text{ hrs} \times \$45,000 = \$5,400,000/\text{year}$

Software reduces unplanned downtime by 70%:

Hours saved: $120 \times 70\% = 84 \text{ hours/year}$

Revenue recovered: $84 \times \$45,000 = \$3,780,000/\text{year}$

Additional value:

Maintenance labor savings (fewer emergency repairs): $\$180,000/\text{year}$

Parts cost savings (predictive vs. emergency replacement): $\$120,000/\text{year}$

Reference Value (current reactive approach cost): $\$0$ (no software license)

Total Positive Differentiation Value: $\$3,780,000 + \$180,000 + \$120,000$

$= \$4,080,000/\text{year}$

EVC = $\$0 + \$4,080,000 = \$4,080,000/\text{year}$ (theoretical ceiling)

Value-Sharing Price: Share 25%–35% of EVC with customer

Target price range: $\$1,020,000 - \$1,428,000/\text{year}$

Actual list price: $\$1,200,000/\text{year}$ (30% of EVC captured)

CFO INSIGHT

The EVC calculation is not a pricing formula — it is a negotiation preparation tool and a value communication framework. The vendor who can sit across from a procurement team and show, with the customer's own numbers, that the software's value is \$4M annually is negotiating from a position of enormous strength. The customer who accepts this analysis cannot in good conscience argue that \$1.2M is unreasonable — they are keeping \$2.8M in value. Build EVC models for every major product in every major customer segment and train the sales team to use them in value conversations. Pricing without EVC is guessing; pricing with EVC is a defensible, data-driven conversation.

SECTION 6**PRICING AND THE P&L: FINANCIAL ARCHITECTURE OF PRICE DECISIONS**

Pricing and the Income Statement: How Price Flows Through Finance

Every pricing decision is ultimately a financial decision — it affects revenue, contribution margin, gross profit, and operating income in ways that must be modeled before the decision is made, not analyzed after. The CFO who is engaged in pricing strategy must build the financial model that connects the pricing decision to the P&L; at the unit level, the segment level, and the company level. This section covers the financial architecture of pricing decisions: how price changes flow through the income statement, how discounting affects realized price, and how the concept of price realization differs from list price.

6.1 List Price vs. Pocket Price: The Price Waterfall

The price a customer actually pays — the pocket price — is almost always significantly below the list price after all discounts, allowances, rebates, and incentives are deducted. The difference between list price and pocket price is the price waterfall: a cascade of deductions that reduces the revenue the company actually receives. For many businesses, particularly in B2B industries, the pocket price can be 20% to 40% below list price. Managing the price waterfall is one of the highest-leverage pricing activities a CFO can own.

THE PRICE WATERFALL

List Price:	\$100.00	100%
Less: Standard volume discount (8%):	(\$8.00)	8%
Less: Promotional / seasonal discount:	(\$5.00)	5%
= Invoice Price:	\$87.00	87%
Less: Early payment discount (2/10):	(\$1.74)	1.7%
Less: Freight allowance:	(\$2.00)	2%
Less: Co-op advertising allowance:	(\$1.74)	1.7%
= Pocket Price:	\$81.52	81.5%

Price Waterfall Leakage: \$18.48 (18.5% of list price leaked away)

On \$100M in list revenue: Pocket Revenue = \$81.5M

\$18.5M in value has been given away through the discount cascade

Recovering 25% of this leakage = \$4.6M added to operating profit

6.2 Contribution Margin at Different Price Points

The contribution margin analysis at different price points is the essential financial model for any pricing decision. It shows exactly how much profit is generated at each price level, incorporating the volume effect that price changes produce. The model should be built for each major product or segment and updated whenever costs or competitive conditions change.

Price Point	Est. Units Sold	Revenue	Variable Cost (\$40/unit)	Contribution	CM %
\$50	10,000	\$500,000	\$400,000	\$100,000	20%
\$60	8,500	\$510,000	\$340,000	\$170,000	33%
\$70	7,000	\$490,000	\$280,000	\$210,000	43%
\$80	5,500	\$440,000	\$220,000	\$220,000	50%
\$90	4,000	\$360,000	\$160,000	\$200,000	56%
\$100	2,500	\$250,000	\$100,000	\$150,000	60%

The table reveals a critical insight: the profit-maximizing price (\$80, generating \$220,000 in contribution) is neither the lowest price (which maximizes volume) nor the highest price (which maximizes contribution margin percentage). The profit-maximizing point depends on the specific relationship between price and volume for this product — which is why price elasticity estimation is so essential. Without knowing how volume responds to price changes, the pricing decision is made without the most important input.

SECTION 7

PRICING GOVERNANCE: STRUCTURE AND PROCESS

Pricing Governance: Making Pricing Decisions with Discipline

Pricing governance — the organizational structure, processes, and tools through which pricing decisions are made, approved, monitored, and adjusted — is the operational infrastructure that determines whether a company's pricing strategy is actually executed or simply articulated. Many companies have excellent pricing strategies on paper that are systematically undermined in practice by undisciplined discounting, inconsistent price realization, and pricing decisions made without financial analysis. The CFO who builds strong pricing governance is building the organizational infrastructure that turns pricing strategy into realized

pricing performance.

7.1 The Pricing Governance Framework

Governance Element	Description	CFO Role	Common Failure Mode
Price Setting Authority	Who has authority to set list prices, create discount schedules, and launch new pricing models	Final approval on pricing that affects gross margin by >1%	Prices set by sales or marketing without finance involvement
Discount Approval Authority Matrix	Tiered approval rights: sales rep, manager, VP, CFO, CEO at increasing discount levels	Approve discounts above defined threshold (e.g., >15%)	Deals approved below the floor without CFO visibility
Deal Desk / CPQ Process	Structured process for non-standard pricing exceptions in enterprise deals	Set floor prices; review exceptions; approve outliers	No deal desk; every exception handled ad hoc by sales
Price Realization Monitoring	Regular reporting on pocket price vs. list price, discount trends, and price variance	Own the price realization dashboard; present to board	No tracking of actual vs. list; discount trends invisible
Pricing Review Cadence	Quarterly or annual structured review of pricing vs. market, vs. costs, vs. objectives	Chair or co-chair pricing review committee	Pricing reviewed only when someone complains
Competitive Price Intelligence	Ongoing monitoring of competitor pricing and positioning	Fund and receive regular competitive pricing reports	Competitive pricing tracked informally by salespeople

7.2 The Discount Approval Authority Matrix

The discount approval authority matrix is the single most important pricing governance tool. It specifies exactly who can approve what level of discount, creating a clear chain of accountability that prevents the uncontrolled discount escalation that destroys pricing discipline in many organizations. The matrix should be based on the contribution margin impact of the discount — not the dollar size of the deal — because a large deal with a small discount may be more acceptable than a small deal with a deep discount that sets a precedent.

DISCOUNT AUTHORITY MATRIX DESIGN

Tier 1: Sales Representative (no approval required)

Up to 5% discount from list price

Standard terms; no custom provisions

Tier 2: Sales Manager approval required

6%–12% discount from list price

Must document competitive situation and strategic rationale

Tier 3: VP Sales / Revenue Leader approval required

13%–20% discount from list price

CFO notified; contribution margin impact modeled and presented

Tier 4: CFO approval required

21%–30% discount from list price

Full deal economics presented; precedent impact assessed

Tier 5: CEO + CFO approval required

>30% discount; or any deal below contribution margin floor

Board-level awareness for deals >\$1M with >25% discount

CFO INSIGHT

The most common failure in pricing governance is the absence of a contribution margin floor. Without a clearly defined minimum price below which the company will not sell — expressed in contribution margin terms, not just discount percentage — sales teams will continue to push exceptions through the approval process until they find a level of desperation or urgency that unlocks the deal. Build the floor into the approval matrix: any deal that results in a contribution margin below X% requires CFO approval regardless of the discount percentage. This single change eliminates the most egregious pricing exceptions and immediately improves average deal economics.

SECTION 8**PRICING METRICS FRAMEWORK**

The Pricing CFO Metrics Framework

The pricing metrics framework covers four domains: price realization (how effectively the list price is being received in actual transactions), price sensitivity (how the market responds to price changes), competitive positioning (how pricing compares to the market), and financial impact (how pricing decisions affect the income statement). The CFO must own all four domains.

8.1 Price Realization Metrics

Metric	Formula	Benchmark
Pocket Price Realization	Pocket Price / List Price	>80% is healthy; <70% = significant leakage
Average Selling Price (ASP)	Total Net Revenue / Total Units Sold	Track trend; declining ASP = pricing erosion
Price Waterfall Leakage %	(List Price - Pocket Price) / List Price	Track each discount layer separately
Discount Rate (average)	Total Discounts Given / Gross Revenue	<15% healthy B2B; rising trend is a red flag
Floor Price Compliance Rate	Deals above CM floor / Total deals	>95% target; below 90% = governance failure
Price Variance (actual vs. plan)	(Actual ASP - Planned ASP) / Planned ASP	Track monthly; negative = systematic discounting

8.2 Financial Impact Metrics

Metric	Formula	Benchmark
Price/Mix Contribution to Revenue Growth	Price change effect isolated from volume effect	Positive = pricing is driving growth
Pricing Power Index	ASP growth rate / Input cost inflation rate	>1.0 = passing through costs; <1.0 = absorbing inflation
Contribution Margin at Realized Price	Pocket Revenue - Variable Cost / Pocket Revenue	Track vs. plan; erosion signals pricing or cost problem
Revenue per Unit of Capacity	Net Revenue / Capacity Units (seats, hours, sq ft)	Rising = improving monetization efficiency
Price Elasticity (estimated)	% Volume Change / % Price Change	Track for each product and segment; update quarterly

Metric	Formula	Benchmark
Win Rate by Price Tier	Deals won / Deals quoted at each price tier	Identify price sensitivity sweet spot by segment

SECTION 9

PRICING CFO OPERATING CHECKLIST

The Pricing CFO Checklist: Foundations

Price Economics and Analysis

- Profit lever analysis completed for current business: 1% price vs. 1% volume vs. 1% cost modeled explicitly; result shared with CEO and board to establish pricing as a financial priority.
- Price elasticity estimated for all major product lines: method documented (conjoint, Gabor-Granger, transaction data analysis, A/B test); estimate updated at least annually.
- EVC models built for top 3 customer segments: quantified value drivers (cost savings, revenue impact, risk reduction) documented; sales team trained to use EVC in customer conversations.
- WTP distribution estimated for major products: research method appropriate to product type and market; result segmented by customer type, size, and geography.

Pricing Governance

- Discount approval authority matrix documented and communicated: all sales levels know their approval limits; exceptions require documented justification and finance review.
- Contribution margin floor defined for each product line: minimum acceptable CM% documented; any deal below floor requires CFO approval regardless of strategic rationale claimed.
- Price realization dashboard operational: pocket price calculated for every deal; discount waterfall visible by product, channel, and sales rep; trends reported monthly to leadership.
- Quarterly pricing review cadence established: market prices compared to internal pricing; costs reviewed against pricing adequacy; competitive intelligence incorporated.
- Price waterfall analysis completed: each discount layer quantified in aggregate; top 3 leakage sources identified; reduction targets set for each.

Financial Integration

- Pricing decision template established: every material pricing change modeled with price-volume-contribution impact before approval; model reviewed by CFO.
- Price/mix contribution to revenue growth calculated in monthly reporting: isolates pricing performance from volume performance; presented to board.
- Annual price increase planning integrated into budget process: target ASP growth rate set alongside volume growth targets; inflation pass-through modeled.

Closing Perspective: Pricing as Strategy

Pricing is not a tactical decision that happens at the end of the product development process. It is a strategic decision that determines how much of the value the company creates it actually captures — and therefore how much is available to invest in future growth, to return to shareholders, and to fund the people and capabilities that keep the business competitive. The CFO who treats pricing as a strategy — who brings the same analytical rigor to pricing decisions that they bring to capital allocation or M&A; — is making one of the highest-return investments available in the finance function.

The foundations established in this part — the three pricing orientations, willingness to pay theory, price elasticity, EVC, the price waterfall, and pricing governance — are the building blocks upon which every subsequent part of this series is constructed. Parts 4 through 7 will apply these foundations to specific business models: SaaS, physical goods, services, and platforms. Parts 8 through 10 will introduce advanced pricing strategies. Parts 11 through 13 will cover execution: price increases, discounting governance, and international pricing. And Part 14 will synthesize everything into the Systems CFO pricing playbook.

Part 2 covers Cost-Plus and Break-Even Pricing in depth — the mechanics of full cost-plus and target return pricing, the contribution margin pricing approach, break-even analysis at different price points, activity-based costing for pricing, and the specific situations where cost-plus is not just acceptable but optimal.

End of Part 1: The Economics of Pricing | Pricing Strategy — A 14-Part Series

eFuturesCFO | The Systems CFO Platform | efuturescfo.com